GOD

THE

ORIGINAL

SEGREGATIONIST

The enlargement of a Sermon preached by the author on Sunday, May 23, 1954, just after the U. S. Supreme Court announced its decision against continued segregation of white and Negro children in our public schools

By

CAREY DANIEL

Pastor
First Baptist Church of West Dallas
Dallas, Texas

Vice-Chairman,
Dallas Chapter, TEXAS CITIZENS' COUNCIL

Author of
''THE BIBLE'S SEEMING CONTRADICTIONS''
and
''THE DYING THIEF A LIVING EVANGELIST''

COPYRIGHTED
1955
BY AUTHOR

GOD THE ORIGINAL SEGREGATIONIST

Old Testament Text - GENESIS 10:32 - 11:9

''These are the families of the sons of Noah, after their generations, in their nations: and by these were the nations DIVIDED in the earth after the flood.''

''And the whole earth was of one language, and one speech. And it came to pass, as they journeyed from the east, that they found a plain in the land of Shinar; and they dwelt there. And they said one to another, Go to, let us make brick, and burn them throughly. And they had brick for stone, and slime had they for morter. And they said, Go to, let us build us a city and a tower, whose top may reach unto heaven; and let us make us a name, LEST WE BE SCATTERED ABROAD UPON THE FACE OF THE WHOLE EARTH. And the Lord came down to see the city and the tower, which the children of men builded. And the Lord said, Behold, THE PEOPLE IS ONE, and they have all one language; and this they begin to do: and now nothing will be restrained from them, which they have imagined to do. Go to, let us go down, and there confound their language, that they may not understand one another's speech. So the LORD SCATTERED them abroad from thence upon the face of all the earth: and they left off to build the city. Therefore is the name of it called Babel; because the Lord did there confound the language of all the earth: and from thence did the LORD SCATTER them abroad upon the face of all the earth.''

New Testament Text - ACTS 17:26,27

''And (GOD) hath made of one blood all nations of men for to dwell on all the face of the earth, and hath determined the times before appointed, AND THE BOUNDS OF THEIR HABITATION; that they should seek the Lord, if haply they might feel after him, and find him, though he be not far from every one of us.''

Our Lord God Himself was the Original Segregationist.

When first He separated the black race from the white and lighter skinned races He did not simply put them in different parts of town. He did not even put them in different towns or states. Nay, He did not even put them in adjoining countries.

HE PUT THE BLACK RACE ON A HUGE CONTINENT TO THEMSELVES, SEGREGATED FROM THE OTHER RACES BY OCEANS OF WATER TO THE WEST, SOUTH AND EAST, AND BY THE VAST STRETCHES OF THE ALMOST IMPASSABLE SAHARA DESERT TO THE NORTH.

After the flood of Noah's day God made a sharp division in the lands He alloted to Shem, Ham and Japheth, the sons of Noah who headed the three great branches of the human family. Our text plainly declares that by these three ''were the nations DIVIDED in the earth after the flood.''

The descendants of Shem were to become the ''Semitic'' race, the chief representatives of which are the Jews. In fact the Jews and Jew-related Arabs are almost the only people who can accurately trace their lineage and positively prove that they are Semitic, though others claim to be and still others are called Semitic only because of their language and not their racial origin.

The decendants of Ham were to become the Negro race. The very name ''Ham'' comes from an Egyptian word ''kem'' meaning ''Black'' (see James Hasting's Dictionary of the Bible or any other reliable Bible dictionary).

The descendants of Japheth were to become the other Gentile races. His was to be the ''enlarged'' family (Genesis 9:27) whose members were to comprise the great majority of the world's population.

To Shem and his offspring was granted not only what is known as''the land of Israel'' but also a vast territory east of there, extending all the way to the river Euphrates (Deuteronomy 1:7,8 and Joshua 1:2,4). This was many times as large as the land they actually occupied.

To Ham and his posterity was granted the enormous continent of Africa, the second largest body of land in the whole world, surpassed in size only by the double Eurasian continent. That is why Egypt in particular and Africa in general are called ''the land of Ham'' (Psalms 105:23-38 and Psalms 106:21,22). The ancient Egyptians were the children of Mizraim, a son of Ham.

The Canaanites (the only children of Ham who were specifically cursed to be a servile race) were temporarily allowed to occupy a very narrow strip of land along the Mediterranian coast from Sidon to Gaza, including Sodom and Gomarrah (Genesis 10:19). But even these slave people were to live in a different part of the country from the children of Shem. When later they dared to violate God's sacred law of segregation by moving into and claiming the land farther east, so that the Hebrew territory became known as ''the land of Cannan'', God justly commanded His chosen people to wage war upon them and ''utterly destroy them'' (Deuteronomy 7:1,2).

To Japeth and his posterity were given ''the isles of the Gentiles'' (Genesis 10:5). The word ''isles'' here means ''coasts'' or ''settlements'', and the phrase ''the isles of the Gentiles'' is further defined in Isaiah 41:1-5 and 49:1-6 as including all territories ''to the ends of the earth''. In other words Japheth's children were to have all the rest of the world. That was only fair, since they were to be so much more numerous.

We have no reason to suppose that God did not make known to Noah and his children His Divine plan of racial segregation immediately after the flood. But they were all slow to obey. Three generations passed and they were still all living together.

Finally a very godly Semite,a great-grandson of Shem named Eber, or Heber (from which is derived the word ''Hebrew''), named his son Peleg, which means Division. He may have meant that name as a stern reminder of God's commandment and as an expression of his righteous indignation at the long delay. Or perhaps he meant it simply as an expression of the hope and prayer in his heart that the Lord's will would soon be done in that matter.

If so, his prayer was soon answered, ''for in his (Peleg's) days was the earth divided'' (Genesis 10:25). But even then it was not done voluntarily.

God's patience is lasting but not everlasting. His anger had been mounting slowly, but at last it burst forth in a sudden and violent judgement.

NIMROD THE ORIGINAL DESEGREGATIONIST

Ham had a grandson named Nimrod, which means ''Rebel'' or ''Let us Rebel''. He was ''a mighty one in the earth'', a powerful leader and an influential monarch -- so much so that he became the commander of the builders of the Tower of Babel. He was most likely the one who first conceived the idea because''the beginning of his kingdom was Babel'' (Genesis 10:10). He was so obviously the mouthpiece of the Devil that I might have done better if I had entitled this section ''Satan the Original Desegregationist''.

Meekly was he followed and obeyed not only by the other children of Ham but also by those of Shem and Japheth. ''The whole earth was of one language and one speech'' at that time (Genesis 11:1), and the verses which follow show that all of the earth's inhabitants became involved, more or less, in the erection of this blasphemous structure.

Nimrod was a twofold rebel, a double-dyed anarchist. He rebelled against both God's plan of salvation and God's plan of segregation for the races. This is shown by the two reasons given for the building of ''the tower whose top may reach unto heaven'':

FIRST, TO ''MAKE US A NAME.'' Nimrod ignored the "Name above every name,'' the Lord Jesus Christ. The whole Bible was written primarly to teach us that salvation is entirely the work of God and not man, and that it comes from above and not up from beneath. ''Where is boasting then? It is excluded. By what law? of works? Nay: but by the law of faith. Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the law''. (Romans 3:27,28).

SECONDLY, ''LEST WE BE SCATTERED ABROAD UPON THE FACE OF THE WHOLE EARTH.'' That was just exactly what the Lord had told them to do many years before then - to scatter and separate from one another racially. When they persistently refused to do so GOD HIMSELF scattered them!

Not only did God want these three races to be segregated, but He also wanted to remove from them all temptation to reunite later on, so He ''confounded their speech,'' - that is, He gave them different languages. In this connection it is interesting to note that most linguistic scholars now agree that all modern languages can be traced back to the ''three parent tongues," the Semitic, the Aryan and the Turanian.

MOSES THE SEGREGATIONIST

God thundered through the lips of Moses such stern warnings against the intermarriage of Jews and Gentiles that the Jews to this day have maintained an amazing racial purity. Moses' own marriages to the Midianite girl and the Ethiopian woman must have taken place before those warnings were given. He was a very old man then, approaching 100 years of age, and we do not know that those Gentile wives were even living at that time.

That noble prophet was inspired of the Lord to devote a whole chapter of his book of Deuteronomy to forbid the comingling of his people with Negroes. It begins like this:

''When the Lord thy God shall bring thee into the land whither thou goest to possess it, and hath cast out many nations before thee, the Hittites, and the Girgashites, and the Amorites, and the Canaanites, and the Perizzites, and the Hivites, and the Jebusites (nearly all of these were the descendants of Ham), seven nations greater and mightier than thou; and when the Lord thy God shall deliver them before thee; thou shall smite them, and utterly destroy them; thou shall make no covenant with them, nor shew mercy unto them; NEITHER SHALT THOU MAKE MARRIAGES WITH THEM; thy daughter shalt thou not give unto his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son.'' (Deuteronomy 7:1-3)

JESUS THE SEGREGATIONIST

''But preacher,'' you might say, ''you are confining your argument to the Old Testament. This is a book in which the Lord seems to be a little more harsh and severe in His dealings with His primitive people.

''How about the gracious teachings of the New Testament? How about the the merciful teachings of Jesus and the sublime utterances of the Apostle Paul? Can we find nothing there to show a softer attitude on the part of our Lord?''

The best way to answer that is to quote from James 1:17, which tells us that with our Heavenly Father there ''is no variableness, neither shadow of turning.'' The God of the New Testament is the same as the God of the Old.

''But can it be proven,'' we are sometimes asked, ''that Jesus Himself was a segregationist?''

The burden of proof, my dear friend, rests with you to prove that He was NOT a segregationist. The very question implies unbelief in the deity of Christ or at least a woeful ignorance of the Old Testament. Jesus was the very same identical God who spoke through the lips of Moses, and He never once repudiated a single statement He ever made. If ever He did then our God is divided against Himself and His kingdom cannot stand.

To the contrary Jesus said: ''Think not that I am come to destroy the law or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfill. For verily I say unto you, till heaven and earth pass, one jot and one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law till all be fulfilled'' (Matthew 5:17,18). We need not look beyond that statement for proof that the incarnation of God in Christ did not change His views on segregation.

''But did not Jesus request the Father in His prayer of intercession that His belivers would all be ''one'' (John 17:11)? Would it not be wrong and sinful for us to do anything that would in any way hinder or prevent that perfect unity for which He prayed?''

Indeed it would. But remember, the ''oneness'' for which He prayed was purely spiritual, not physical. When I was living in China as the son of a missionary I was one in spirit with every born-again Christian in my native homeland, even though we were separated physically by the widest ocean in the world.

PAUL THE SEGREGATIONIST

''But does not the Apostle Paul say that Christ has ''broken down the middle wall of partition between us' (Ephesians 2:14)?''

Yes, but the next verse (usually omitted by the desegregationists) explains just what kind of a ''wall'' He has broken down: ''Having abolished in His flesh the ENMITY'' - the wall of hatred, not only between sinners and their God, but also the wall of hatred and race prejudice between Jews and Gentiles which had prevented effective missionary work.

Certainly Paul could not have meant that Jesus broke down the walls of

racial segregation which God Himself had erected. If Paul had meant that he would never have told the Athenians:

''And (God) hath made of one blood all nations of all men for to dwell on all the face of the earth, and hath determined the times before appointed, AND THE BOUNDS OF THEIR HABITATION:

''That they should seek the Lord, if haply they might feel after him, and find him, though he be not far from every one of us.'' (Acts 17:26,27)

Here again is another passage the desegregationists love to mutilate. Their favorite sport is quoting the first half of the 26th verse and leaving out the last half.

As Henry W. Fancher Sr., says in his recent booklet, ''Segregation - God's Plan and God's Purpose,'' page 5; ''God worked from 'one' to 'every nation of men.' Those who oppose segregation want to work from 'every nation of earth' to 'one' race. The chief aim of the opponents of separation in America is integration - the intermarriage of whites and blacks ... If God wanted only one race to inhabit His earth, all He had to do was to retain the 'status quo'. For some divine reason He proposed to populate His earth with several nations and so He made 'many' from 'one'.''

This passage of Paul's concludes by giving God's high purpose in segregation, ''that they should seek the Lord, if haply they might feel after him and find him.'' According to the integrationist the best way to win souls to Christ, to spread the gospel and to advance the kingdom of our Savior would be to mix the whites and blacks in our schools and churches so that they could study and worship together. But according to the Word of God it is segregation, not integration, which accomplishes this purpose in the best way.

MOTHER NATURE THE SEGREGATIONIST

Nature is sometimes called ''God's second book.'' Just as His first Book, the Bible, repeatedly forbids the comingling and intermarriage of the children of Shem, Ham and Japheth, so Mother Nature, with her huge geographic barriers of oceans, deserts and gigantic mountain ranges, clearly confirms that same lesson.

OTHER OBJECTIONS ANSWERED

THE GOLDEN RULE

If you want Scriptural authority for desegregation you will just simply have to write another Bible: The feeble efforts of the integrationists to support their views by God's Word are not only pitiful, they are often ludicrous. When pressed for Bibical authority many of them can be no more specific than to quote such general moral principles as ''Thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself'' and ''Do unto others as you would be done by,''etc.

But am I loving my white neighbor as myself if I am working for a society which threatens to mongrelize his children or grandchildren? Am I loving my black neighbor as myself if I am trying to change his God-given skin-color into something contrary to both nature and Scripture?

Am I not obeying the Golden Rule if I practise separation (except of course for missionary work) so far as possible in relation to the Negroes and ask them to treat me and mine in the same way? How many of the desegregationists themselves would obey the Golden Rule if a Negro asked for their daughter's hand in marriage?

The integrationists of course are the most popular in those places where the colored population is the thinest. Are those Northern desegregationists practising the Golden Rule when they try to force on the South a condition which they themselves would not tolerate if the Negroes were as thick in their part of the country?

OBEDIENCE TO CIVIL AUTHORITY

''But does not the Bible teach that we should live in obedience to our civil authorities? Our Supreme Court has spoken on this subject, and as loyal and patriotic American citizens we are duty-bound to submit to their decision whether we like it or not. Otherwise we are acting like rebels and anarchists.''

Many of the same people now using that argument had no scruples against acting like rebels and anarchists during those many years when the Supreme Court held the opposite opinion on segregation. The last decision of that

court contradicted ALL FIVE of its previous decisions, all of which ruled emphatically that our Constitution requires ''separate but equal'' school facilities for white and Negro children.

WHICH IS THE MORE LOYAL AND PATRIOTIC AMERICAN CITIZEN, THE ONE WHO SAYS THAT OUR SUPREME COURT WAS RIGHT FIVE TIMES AND WRONG ONCE, OR THE ONE WHO SAYS THE COURT WAS WRONG FIVE TIMES AND RIGHT ONCE?

This argument based on the necessity of obedience to our government has now backfired on the integrationist of Texas. Our national Supreme Court has modified its last decision by admitting that there are some districts in our country in which it would not now be wise to enforce desegration. Our state Attorney General has declared that segregation is still the law in Texas and will remain so until and unless our national Supreme Court specifically states otherwise. Our Texas State Constitution still requires continued segregation in our public schools. If the U. S. Supreme Court or the Texas Supreme Court should now go so far as arbitrarily to force desegregation on Texas (contrary to the wishes of at least 90% of its white citizens and of a great many of its colored citizens) then the big question would no longer be ''Do you believe in segregation?'' but rather ''Do you believe in democratic government?''

We are hearing all kinds of rumors to the effect that some Texans are trying to revive the Ku-Klux-Klan. While I am unalterably opposed to that and all other outlaw organizations, I am even more opposed to that which gives rise to the Klan. If we have even a semblance of democracy left in our state and nation it should not be necessary for any of our people to go to such extremes or to adopt such drastic measures in order to express their will. And we should not have to make such threats as that we might secede from the Union in order to assert our rights as American citizens.

We Christian citizens should not have to ''go underground'' when we insist that our first and foremost allegiance is to God Almighty and not to human legislators. The Bible itself exempts us from obediance to human laws whenever they conflict with the divine laws. As Peter and the other apostles told the civil and ecclesiastical authorities of their day, when forbidden to preach the Word of God, ''We ought to obey God rather than men''(Acts 5:29).

In a recent public address President Eisenhower said, ''There are no second-class Americans.'' Many interpreted that remark as a sidewise slam at the segregationists. Soon after that address I sent him the following telegram: ''DEAR MR. PRESIDENT: YOU ARE PERFECTLY RIGHT. THERE ARE NO SECOND-CLASS AMERICANS, NOT EVEN US SOUTHERN WHITE PEOPLE. BUT WE ARE CERTAINLY BEING TREATED AS SUCH. A GREAT MAJORITY OF US, AS MY COUSIN SENATOR PRICE DANIEL WILL TELL YOU, STILL FAVOR SEGREGATION IN OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS. NEGROES HAVE A LARGER PERCENTAGE OF DESEGREGATIONISTS THAN WHITES, BUT WHICH CLASS IS BEING GIVEN THE PREFERENCE? PLEASE CORRECT THIS. GRATEFULLY AND RESPECTFULLY YOURS, CAREY DANIEL.''

THE CURSE OF HAM

''But if God intended that the Negro race should be segregated in Africa then why did He curse the children of Ham and decree that they should be the servants, or slaves, ot the other races?''

God did not. Canaan was the only one specifically cursed to be a ''servant of servants''(Genesis 9:20-27). Even if we admit the possibility that all his descendants were included in the curse (the best Bible scholars disagree on this point). we must still remember that Canaan was only one of the four sons of Ham and therefore he fathered only a MINORITY of the black race. And as I said before, even that servile minority were to live in a different part of the country from the Hebrews (Genesis 10:19).

It cannot be positively proven from the Scriptures that the Negroes were cursed to be black because of Nimrod's rebellion or because of Ham's sexual laxity at the time of his father Noah's drunkenness. But there are some verses that seem to leave that implication. For example in Jeremiah 13:23 we read, ''Can the Ethiopian change his skin, or the leopard his spots? then may ye also do good, that are accustomed to do evil.'' Here the black skin of the Negro is obviously a symbol of evil. This verse also shows that God meant for that skin to STAY BLACK and not be blended into a thousand shades of mulatto.

The notion that the Negroes of this country have INHERITED the ''deep sunburn'' that their forefathers are said to have suffered in Africa is

ridiculous. Sunburns are not inherited, no matter how deeply they penetrate, not even by the first generation, not to mention the tenth or twelfth generation.

If we are to reject the curse of Ham and the rebellious leadership of Nimrod as explanations of why God made the Negro black then there is only one other possible explanation to be found anywhere in the Bible or out of it: THE GOOD LORD WAS SO ANXIOUS FOR THE HAMITES TO BE SEPARATED AND SEGREGATED PEOPLE THAT HE MADE THEM RADICALLY DIFFERENT IN THEIR APPEARANCE FROM THE PEOPLE OF ALL OTHER RACES. He made their skin color different for for the same reason that He made their language different and for the same reason that He assigned them a different habitation.. At least we would be much kinder and more charitable to our colored friends if we gave that explanation.

ARE ALL BORN EQUAL?

''But is it not true, as our Declaration of Independence says, that all men are born, or created, equal?''

The only way in which all are born equal is in the sense we are all born with equally sinful natures which are all equally in need of being changed by Christ and the new birth. Spiritually all are born equal, but physically, mentally, socially, racially, hereditarily, environmentally, geographically and otherwise there are many thousands of differences between us, as there should be. Many of these differences need no correction at all. Others, like the God-given skin-color and habitation of the Negroes, it is downright sinful for us to tamper with!

Thomas Jefferson, the author of the Declaration of Independence, was a far more fanatical segregationist than the most hot-headed Southerner living today. JEFFERSON RECOMMENDED THAT THE NEGROES BE DEPORTED AND SENT BACK TO AFRICA, because he said (as God's Word says) that that was the division of the earth assigned to them by their Creator. You may scoff at Jefferson all you like, but you cannot deny that this country would have been spared untold misery and bloodshed if his advice had been followed.

It may be that a merciful God will not hold us to blame for the outrageous sin of our forefathers in forcing the Negroes out of their God-given habitation in Africa and bringing them to this country for slaves. But He certainly does hold us personally responsible if we sit idly by and allow this continued desegregation to go on in our generation unchecked.

Which of our desegregationist friends would dare to quote in defense of his cause the very next sentence in the Declaration of Independence following the one with the ''created equal'' clause? Quote: ''That to secure these rights (life, liberty and the persuit of happiness) governments are instituted among men, DERIVING THEIR JUST POWERS FROM THE CONSENT OF THE GOVERNED; that, whenever any form of government becomes destructive to these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it...'' How many of those governed by the last Supreme Court decision have given their consent to it or were even remotely consulted about it, and how little does that court care how loudly they protest against it? Any poll of public opinion in the South would be most embarrassing to that court, revealing as it would the alarming fact that government of, by and for the people is indeed in danger of perishing from the face of the earth.

ARE SEGREGATIONISTS ALL ''NIGGER-HATERS''?

The worst and most vicious lie now being circulated against us is the charge that all segregationists are necessarily the enemies of the black race. The Southern white people generally are among the strongest segregationists in the world, and yet (not in spite of that fact but because of it) they have earned the unquestioned distinction of being by far the greatest friends and benefactors the Negroes have ever had.

By following God's plan of segregation as well as we could within our limited boundries we have long since worked out a marvelous solution to the Negro problem here in the South. In fact we HAD no Negro problem in recent years until certain Northern politicians and Communist-inspired pressure groups decided to create one for us here. THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NOTHING THE COMMUNISTS WOULD LOVE MORE THAN A MONGRELIZED AMERICA THAT THEY COULD EASILY ENSLAVE.

When those meddlesome white politicians and troublemakers leave them alone the Negroes are quite happy and satisfied in their segregated condition.

Yes, beloved, I do love my colored brethern. No one believes more firmly than I that the ground at the foot of the Cross is level for all races. Few preachers emphasize more often than I the principle that ''in Christ there is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female; for ye are all one in Christ Jesus.''

But the ''oneness'' here, like the oneness Jesus prayed for in John 17:11, is purely spiritual and not physical. Neither this nor any other Scripture contradicts God's fixed laws of racial segregation. This verse does not, as some well-meaning people keep insisting nowadays, require the social mingling of Negroes and white people in our schools or churches or anywhere else.It does not require, for example, that I allow my daughter to marry a Negro, and I would be a fool to think that impossible if desegregation in our public schools is thrust upon us.

Does anyone really doubt that intermarriage and mongrelization are inevitable if this happens? Does anyone actually think it possible to mix millions of black and white school children in the close and constant associations of the classroom, the playground and the dining hall without having to invite both together to all the parties, swims, dances and other social activities? The Negroes would scream ''discrimination'' to the skies if they were left out. And can any sensible person imagine such a situation as that without the interracial dating, courtship and marriage (not to mention worse evils) that would naturally follow?

Ever since the Negro god ''Father Divine'' married a white girl some years ago his followers and admirers have felt a renewed urge to cohabitate with white women. He also has some strong admirers among the white people who are equally anxious to marry Negroes and who want legislation that will not interfere. They all know that desegregation in our public schools is a long step and a quick step in that direction.

It is high time that the defenders of the Word of God and the true faith stand up boldly, like Joshua of old, and declare to their indifferent fellow citizens; - ''CHOOSE YOU THIS DAY WHOM YOU WILL SERVE, FATHER DIVINE OR THE GOD OF THE SCRIPTURES. BUT AS FOR ME AND MY HOUSE, WE WILL SERVE THE LORD!''

WHAT CAN WE DO ABOUT IT?

Please let me urge all my segregationist friends, both white and colored, to take the following steps immediately:

REFUSE TO SEND YOUR CHILDREN TO DESEGREGATED SCHOOLS. If your local school board should consider desegregating, make out a petition of protest and secure the signature of every last parent of school-age children in that district willing to sign.Few will refuse.If the board ignores the protest then if at all possible move to some other locality where the schools are still segregated.

INSIST THAT YOUR PASTOR PREACH THE WORD OF GOD ON THIS SUBJECT if he mentions it at all. If he refuses then move your membership to some other church where it is preached. Many ministers have been duped by the desegregationists into believing that theirs is the only possible Christian attitude to take in this matter. But your threat of withdrawal from your pastor's church may have the sanctifying effect of ''unduping'' him!

WRITE, OR BETTER STILL TELEGRAPH, YOUR TOP POLITICAL LEADERS AND CONGRESSMEN, DEMANDING CONTINUED SEGREGATION IN OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS. The National Association for the Agitation of Colored People (sometimes nicknamed the ''National Association for the Advancement of the Communist Party'') and their sympathizers are now having their way with our federal government for the simple reason that a noisy and organized minority can always defeat a quiet and unorganized majority. We must beat them at their own game.

JOIN YOUR NEAREST CITIZENS' COUNCIL FOR SEGREGATION, OR ORGANIZE A NEW ONE IN YOUR LOCALITY. Free information about the organization of new Councils will be provided on request to anyone writing the Texas Citizens' Council, 1125 Davis Bldg., Dallas, Texas. The Bill of Rights of our U. S. Constitution begins by guaranteeing us freedom of speech and the right ''peacefully to assemble to petition for a redress of our grievances.'' So there is nothing unlawful, Klanish or ''underground'' about these good and worthy Citizens' Councils. REMEMBER, THE ONLY THING THAT CAN WHIP US IS THE DEFEATIST ATTITUDE THAT WE CANNOT WIN!

BOOKS by CAREY DANIEL

THE BIBLE'S SEEMING CONTRADICTIONS

101 Paradoxes Harmonized

Such as

Marriage Advised and yet Discouraged

Wine Approved and yet Disapproved

Judgement to be Faced and yet Escaped by Christians

Justification with and without Works

Published by Zondervan — 155 Pages
Cloth-bound — $2.00

THE DYING THIEF A LIVING EVANGELIST

Twelve Sermons on the Repentant Robber and his Redeemer

Paper-bound — 100 pages — $1.00

GOD THE ORIGINAL SEGREGATIONIST

25 cents each — $2.00 per dozen

Order this booklet and these books from

BIBLE BOOK STORE

527 Toronto St. Dallas 12, Texas

Add 10¢ to each order for mailing costs